# BUKTI KORESPONDENSI ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI

Judul Artikel : Enhanced multi-lingual Twitter sentiment analysis using

hyperparameter tuning k-nearest neighbors

Nama Jurnal : Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics

Publhiser : Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science (IAES).


Quarttile Scopus : Q3

SJR : 0.306

Penulis Korespondensi : Kristiawan Nugroho

No	Kegiatan	Tanggal	Halaman
1	Submission to Bulletin of Electrical	27 Juli 2023	2
	Engineering and Informatics(BEEI)		
2	Decision to Revised	11 Februari 2024	3
3	Revision & Modification	17 Maret 2024	6
4	Final Paper And Checking Turnitin	4 April 2024	8
5	Decision of Submission (Accepted)	17 Mei 2024	7
6	Full Published (Volume 13 No	Desember 2024	9
	06, Desember 2024)		

# 1. Submission to Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics



### 2. Decision to Revised

## [EEI] Editor Decision - Major revisions Required (Eksternal) Kotak Masuk ×









Prof. Dr. Ir. Tole Sutikno, ASEAN Eng. beei@iaescore.com lewat beei2.org kepada saya, Edy, De, Omar 🔻

Min. 11 Feb 2024, 22.50





Sepertinya pesan ini ditulis dalam Inggris Terjemahkan ke Indonesia

- -- Paper ID# 7265
- -- Strictly adhere to the guidelines for authors

https://iaescore.com/gfa/beei.docx, also read: https://bit.ly/35R6JTs and https://bit.ly/2DxU9MI

-- Research Paper: min 25 references (primarily to journal papers) and Review/study/survey Paper: min 50 references (primarily to journal papers)

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs. Kristiawan Nugroho,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, "Enhanced Multi-lingual Twitter Sentiment Analysist Using Hyperparameter Tuning K-Nearest Neighbors", a Scopus (https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100826382) and ScimagoJR (https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100826382&tip=sid&clean=0) indexed journal, with CiteScore: 3.0, SNIP: 0.639 and SJR: 0.299.

Our decision is to: Major revisions Required

#### # EDITOR-IN-CHIEF COMMENTS:

- 1. The introduction should contextualize your study and provide any specialized information that the general measurement or control reader may need to understand what follows. It must explain the significance of previous work and the problems your work solves. It should also include a list of comparable works. The introduction should define the article's contribution(s) and show how it is demonstrated in the rest of the manuscript. A typical introduction should be as brief as possible and include the following:
- a). An outline of the problem.
- b). A review of the relevant literature, noting briefly the major contributors and indicating:
- What the main contributors did?
- What the main contributors found?
- c). A statement of unsolved problems and/or areas requiring improvement; particularly the one(s) considered in your manuscript.
- d). In regard to the above, describe what you will perform that has not been done before (what are your new contributions?).
- e). An outline of how the following sections show what you did and how its relevance will be demonstrated.
- 2. This paper lacks critical discussion, comparison, and interpretation. What are the implications of your findings. What will come in useful in the

#### # ASSOCIATE EDITORS COMMENTS

1. The method section is a detailed step-by-step description of the experimental procedure that includes all of the information needed to replicate the work described in the paper. The Method must include a description of both novel and standard experimental approaches, as well as whatever minimal justification is required to persuade the reader that the methods are correct.

A well-written Method section:

- a). Is the "how-to" section of your paper, containing all of the pertinent details for producing your results.
- b). Persuades the reader that your approach is correct by providing justification for selecting your methodology, which may include analysis or theoretical justification.
- c). Gives readers the details, algorithms, and techniques necessary to confirm and/or replicate your findings

The Methods section's purpose is to describe how the questions and knowledge gaps raised in the Introduction will be addressed in the Results section.

2. Please look for relevant references at <u>ipmugo.com</u>, download, read, and cite the sources to improve your paper.

#### Reviewer A:

The paper entitled "Enhanced Multi-lingual Twitter Sentiment Analysist Using Hyperparameter Tuning K-Nearest Neighbors" is intriguing, but revisions are required.

Introduction: This first section of the main text should describe the problem, any existing solutions you are aware of, and the major limitations. Also, explain what you hope to achieve through your research.

Method: Every article should have a detailed Method section that provides the reader with enough information to determine whether the study is valid and reproducible. Include sufficient details so that a knowledgeable reader can replicate the experiment. However, use references and supplementary materials to highlight previously published procedures.

Results and Discussion: In this section, you will present the essential or primary findings of your research. Summarize major findings in tables; be concise; and use online supplement tables or figures to keep the paper to a manageable length. Here, you should also explain to your readers what the results mean. Do explain how the results relate to the study's objectives and hypotheses, as well as how the findings compare to those from other studies. Explain every possible interpretation of your findings, as well as the study's limitations.

Conclusion: Your conclusion is not simply a summary of what you've already written. It should take your paper a step further by answering any outstanding questions. Summarize what you've shown in your study and suggest potential applications and extensions. The main question in your conclusion should be, "What do my findings mean for the research field and my community?"

#### Reviewer B:

When it comes to structuring the body of an article, it is critical to keep things organized and coherent. Begin with an effective introduction that captures the reader's attention. Follow it up with a logical sequence of paragraphs that each address a different aspect of the main topic. Use topic sentences to clearly state the main point of each paragraph. Smooth transitions between paragraphs help to keep the flow going. Finally, provide a concise summary or final thoughts that tie everything together.

Method section: The method should describe how you carried out your research and the experimental procedure. One of the most important things to remember when writing the method section is that it should be detailed enough to allow other researchers in your field to replicate your experiments and findings. You should arrange the steps logically so that your readers can easily follow your description.

Results and Discussion section: A great discussion should be well-organized. One of the keys to writing a great paper is having a thorough and concise discussion. It provides a critical platform for interpreting and connecting your findings to the larger scientific context. The structure presented below is a traditional 6-step approach to creating a well-crafted discussion section, which you should carefully consider.

1). Introduction—mention gaps in previous research Example: This study looked into the effects of "....." While previous studies investigated the impact of ".....", they did not explicitly address its influence on ".....".

Reviewer C:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper? Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work? Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?

Please suggest change of the title as appropriate, and how should authors organize their paper

.


How does this paper show the rate of contribution strength and scientific quality to the field? Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers? Is the paper free from obvious errors, miscomceptions, or ambiguity? Is the paper written in correct English? Please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

Please mark approite scale for the overall grade for this paper?:
Good

Reviewer's comments and suggestions how to improve the paper


- Keep your paragraphs complete. At least three sentences should make up each paragraph, with one serving as the main or key sentence and at least two as supporting sentences.
- We recommend that the site in subfigure 2.2. be placed at the reference https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/jp797498e/twitter-entity-sentiment-analysis.

# 3. Revision & Modification





Responsed from Author: (Link)

# 4. Final Paper And Checking Turnitin




## 5. Decision of Submission (Accepted)





# 6. Full Published (Volume 13 No 06, Desember 2024)



Link paper: <a href="https://beei.org/index.php/EEI/article/view/7265/3991">https://beei.org/index.php/EEI/article/view/7265/3991</a>